

Criteria for review: Advances in CDIO

The Advances in CDIO track requires a full paper meeting criteria for **scholarliness** and **usefulness** for improving the CDIO initiative. In formulating the criteria below, the aim was to balance the aspects related to scholarliness and usefulness.

Overall relevance	▪ Is the topic relevant, significant, interesting and timely for the advancement of CDIO Initiative as an organization? ▪ Is it argued why it is an advancement of CDIO?
Literature	▪ Is the paper informed by relevant literature focusing on the same topic? ▪ Is the paper informed by papers from previous CDIO conferences focusing on the same topic? ▪ Is it put into good use here?
Aim or problem	▪ Is it clear what the paper is trying to achieve, what problem it addresses?
Approach	▪ Does the paper adequately explain how the problem is approached and how the argument is built? ▪ Are limitations critically discussed?
Conclusions	▪ Do conclusions address the stated problem or aim? ▪ Are the claims credibly supported? ▪ Does the paper deliver a take-away message for the community?
Coherence and clarity	▪ Is the paper clearly and logically structured? ▪ Do the parts contribute to the whole? ▪ Can the reasoning be followed through the paper? ▪ Are the APA guidelines and other formatting requirements followed? ▪ Is the paper readable and language appropriate for the audience?